Effects of Unstable Surface Resistance Training on Memory, Balance, and Muscle Strength in a 68-Year-Old Veteran: A Single-Subject Study

Abstract

Aims: The present single-case study aimed to investigate the effects of unstable surface resistance training on cognitive and motor functions in an older war veteran.

Materials & Methods: An A–B–A single-subject experimental design was employed with a 68-year-old male veteran who had a history of lower-limb injury. The intervention consisted of a 4-week unstable surface resistance training program (three sessions per week) using bodyweight squats on a foam surface with progressive overload. Outcome measures included lower-extremity muscular strength (30-Second Chair Stand Test), static balance (Romberg test), dynamic balance (Timed Up and Go test), and short-term memory (Wechsler Memory Scale subtests). Assessments were conducted at baseline, during the intervention, and withdrawal phases. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, visual inspection, and within- and between-phase comparisons.

Findings: The findings indicated improvements in lower-limb strength, static and dynamic balance, and short-term memory during the intervention phase. Although some reduction in performance was observed during the withdrawal phase, overall improvements remained evident compared with initial baseline levels, suggesting a functional relationship between the intervention and observed changes.

Conclusion: Unstable surface resistance training may be an effective rehabilitative approach for improving both motor and cognitive functions in older veterans. The simultaneous demand on neuromuscular control and cognitive processing may contribute to observed gains in strength, balance, and memory. However, due to the single-case design, generalization of findings should be made cautiously, and further research with larger samples is recommended.

Keywords: Postural Balance; Cognition; Memory

In press

Introduction

War exerts profound and long-lasting effects not only on the economic and social structure of societies but also on the physical, psychological, and cognitive health of combatants and veterans, with many of these consequences becoming more pronounced during aging. The Iran–Iraq War likewise imposed substantial social and economic burdens and generated enduring adverse outcomes for the physical and mental health of veterans and disabled war survivors of the eight-year conflict. Today, a significant portion of veterans have entered the elderly age group and face a wide range of age-related problems, including cognitive decline, muscle weakness, balance disorders, and functional limitations, which can affect their individual independence and quality of life [1-4]. These conditions not only threaten their functional independence but also increase the risk of falls, dependency, social isolation, and diminished quality of life [5-7].

Among the challenges associated with aging, cognitive and motor impairments are recognized as major contributors to the loss of independent functioning in older adults, and growing evidence suggests that these domains are closely interconnected. Memory, as one of the most fundamental cognitive functions, plays a critical role in learning, decision-making, environmental interaction, and the performance of activities of daily living [8]. Previous studies have demonstrated that aging and chronic war-related injuries may impair memory performance through mechanisms such as hippocampal atrophy, reduced neuroplasticity, decreased synaptic density, and disrupted neural connectivity [9-11]. Furthermore, advancing age is associated with structural and functional brain alterations, including hippocampal atrophy, reductions in prefrontal cortical volume, gray matter loss, declines in neural plasticity, and degeneration of myelinated axons, all of which may negatively affect memory and executive functioning [12-14].

These cognitive and neural alterations are typically accompanied by concurrent declines in motor system performance. Specifically, aging and reduced central nervous system efficiency can lead to decreased muscle strength—particularly in the lower extremities—impaired motor control, reduced neuromuscular coordination, and deficits in postural balance [15, 16]. Balance is considered one of the most essential functional abilities for performing activities of daily living and maintaining independent living [17]; thus, its deterioration substantially increases the risk of falls, injury, and disability in older adults [18, 19]. Although direct evidence remains limited, available studies suggest that older veterans may exhibit reduced balance ability and greater fall risk, potentially associated with age-related neuromuscular deficits, impaired proprioception, and decreased functional mobility [16].

Exercise-based rehabilitation has gained increasing attention as an effective non-pharmacological strategy for mitigating age-related physical and cognitive decline in older adults and veterans. Evidence suggests that regular physical activity enhances postural control, mobility, and cognitive performance through neuroplastic and neuromuscular adaptations [20, 21]. Multicomponent and balance-oriented exercise programs have been shown to improve balance, proprioception, muscular strength, and functional mobility in aging populations, including veterans [22, 23]. In addition, growing evidence indicates that aerobic, high-intensity, and combined physical–cognitive training can positively affect cognitive domains such as memory, attention, and executive function, thereby improving overall functional capacity [24]. Furthermore, integrated rehabilitation approaches incorporating balance, coordination, and cognitive tasks—such as exergaming—have demonstrated promising effects in reducing fall risk and enhancing postural stability in older adults [25].

Among these interventions, resistance training is widely recognized as one of the most effective non-pharmacological strategies for enhancing both physical and cognitive function in older adults Numerous studies have shown that resistance training can increase muscle strength, enhance motor performance, improve balance, and even boost certain cognitive functions such as working memory, attention, and executive function [26]. These effects are likely mediated through increased cerebral blood flow, enhanced neuroplasticity, elevated neurotrophic and growth factors such as insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), improved synaptic efficiency, and strengthened neuromuscular adaptations, all of which may support both cognitive and motor functioning in older adults [27].

In recent years, unstable surface resistance training has emerged as an innovative and effective rehabilitation approach, as it simultaneously engages muscular, postural, proprioceptive, and cognitive systems [28]. Unlike traditional resistance training performed on stable surfaces, unstable training requires continuous postural adjustments, greater activation of stabilizing muscles, and increased involvement of the neuromuscular system to maintain balance. By enhancing proprioceptive input, improving agonist–antagonist muscle coordination, increasing motor unit

In press

recruitment, and strengthening neuromuscular control, unstable resistance training may contribute to improvements in both balance and muscular strength [29]. Furthermore, unstable resistance training imposes simultaneous motor and cognitive demands, requiring continuous proprioceptive monitoring, postural adjustments, and attentional control to maintain stability during movement. This dual-task–like neuromuscular challenge may increase activation of higher-order neural networks involved in executive control and working memory, particularly within the prefrontal cortex and cerebellum, thereby contributing to improvements in cognitive performance [30].
Recent evidence indicates that unstable resistance training can exert beneficial effects on multiple cognitive domains in older adults, including working memory, processing speed, response inhibition, and executive function. These cognitive benefits may result from the simultaneous engagement of sensory-motor integration, attentional regulation, and executive control processes during unstable movements [31, 32].
Despite growing evidence on the beneficial effects of unstable resistance training on physical and cognitive outcomes in older adults, research focusing specifically on elderly veterans remains scarce. Moreover, most previous studies have employed group-based designs, while individual responses to rehabilitation interventions have received limited attention. Given the heterogeneity in functional status, injury severity, and cognitive aging trajectories among older veterans, single-case experimental designs may provide clinically meaningful insight into individual responsiveness to rehabilitation interventions, thereby supporting the development of personalized rehabilitation programs. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the effects of unstable surface resistance training on memory, static and dynamic balance, and lower-limb muscle strength in a 68-year-old veteran with a shrapnel injury in the lower extremity, using a single-case experimental design.

Methodology

Study Design
The present study was a semi-experimental applied investigation conducted using a single-case A–B–A design. Repeated baseline assessments were obtained prior to the intervention phase, and follow-up evaluations were performed 24 hours, one and two months after completion of the training protocol to examine the maintenance of intervention effects over time.

Participant
The participant was a 68-year-old male war veteran who had sustained a shrapnel injury to the right thigh during the Iran–Iraq war. He weighed 72 kg, was 162 cm tall, and had a body mass index (BMI) of 27.43 kg/m^2. Inclusion criteria included the absence of severe mobility limitations, independent ambulation without assistive devices, and no evidence of significant cognitive impairment, as indicated by a score greater than 27 on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Prior to the intervention, the participant reported engaging in low-intensity walking exercise twice weekly for approximately 30 minutes but had no history of structured resistance or balance training. Following medical screening and physician approval for participation in light-to-moderate physical activity, written informed consent was obtained before initiation of the study.

Outcome Measures
Baseline cognitive status was screened using the Mini-Mental State Examination.To evaluate the effects of the intervention, lower-extremity strength, static and dynamic balance, and short-term memory were assessed using the 30-Second Chair Stand Test (CS-30), Timed Up and Go Test (TUG), Romberg Test, and selected subtests of the Wechsler Memory Scale, respectively.

Mini-Mental State Examination: Cognitive status was screened using the Mini-Mental State Examination, a widely used 30-point instrument developed by Folstein et al. in 1975 to assess global cognitive functioning and detect cognitive impairment [33] The MMSE evaluates several cognitive domains, including temporal and spatial orientation, immediate registration, attention and calculation, delayed recall, language abilities, and visuoconstructive skills [34]. Total scores range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating better cognitive functioning. Scores of 27–30 are generally considered indicative of normal cognition, whereas lower scores may reflect varying degrees of cognitive impairment . Previous research has demonstrated satisfactory psychometric properties for

In press

the MMSE, with reported reliability coefficients ranging from 0.88 to 0.98 and evidence supporting its validity in older adult populations [33, 35].

30-Second Chair Stand Test: Lower-extremity muscular strength was assessed using the 30-Second Chair Stand Test. This test has been identified as a reliable and valid measure of lower-body strength in older adults [36]. The participant was instructed to sit on a standard armless chair (45 cm height) with arms crossed over the chest and perform as many full stands as possible within 30 seconds. The total number of correctly completed repetitions was recorded as the test score. Previous studies have reported high reliability coefficients for this test in elderly populations (r = 0.84–0.92) [37].

Timed Up and Go Test: Dynamic balance and functional mobility were evaluated using the Timed Up and Go Test. The participant was instructed to rise from a seated position on a chair without armrests, walk a distance of 3 meters, turn around a cone marker, return to the chair, and sit down again. The total time required to complete the task was recorded in seconds. Two trials were performed with a 3-minute rest interval between trials, and the best performance was used for analysis. The TUG has demonstrated excellent reliability in older adults (ICC = 0.96–0.99)[38].

Romberg Test: The Romberg test, originally described by Romberg [39], is a clinical assessment of static balance in which the individual maintains a standing position with feet together under eyes-open and eyes-closed conditions. The duration of maintaining stability is used as an indicator of postural control and balance impairment. The reliability of this test has been reported to be 0.91 with eyes open and 0.77 with eyes closed [40].

Wechsler Memory Scale: Cognitive performance was assessed using selected subtests of the Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS). The WMS evaluates several domains of memory functioning, including short-term memory, attention, concentration, orientation, and delayed recall, and is widely used as a standardized instrument for neuropsychological assessment in adults and older adults. Previous studies have demonstrated satisfactory psychometric properties for the WMS, with reported reliability coefficients ranging from 0.74 to 0.93 across different subscales and strong construct and criterion validity for the assessment of memory performance and cognitive impairment [41, 42].

Intervention Procedure

Following an orientation session during which the participant was familiarized with the testing procedures and the unstable-surface resistance training protocol, baseline measurements of lower-extremity strength, static balance with eyes open, static balance with eyes closed, dynamic balance, and short-term memory were collected over three consecutive days to establish a stable baseline phase.

The intervention phase consisted of bodyweight squat exercises performed on an unstable foam surface (6 cm thickness) [43]. The training program was conducted for four weeks, with three sessions per week. During the first two weeks, the participant completed three sets of 15 repetitions per session, with 60 seconds of rest between sets. To comply with the principle of progressive overload, the training load was increased during the final two weeks to four sets of 15 repetitions, with the same 60-second rest interval between sets. In addition, an external load equivalent to 5% of the participant's body weight was applied during this period.

Each training session began with a 10-minute general warm-up consisting of stretching and mobility exercises and concluded with a 10-minute cool-down period. Outcome measures, including lower-extremity strength, static balance with eyes open, static balance with eyes closed, dynamic balance, and short-term memory, were reassessed after every two training sessions, resulting in a total of six assessment points during the intervention phase.

During the follow-up phase, all outcome measures were evaluated at 24 hours, 1 month, and 2 months after completion of the final training session. Data analysis was conducted using both forward- and backward-trending data across the baseline, intervention, and follow-up phases, with within-phase and between-phase comparisons performed to evaluate changes associated with the intervention.

Data Analysis

In press

In this study, data obtained from a single-case A–B–A experimental design were analyzed using established procedures for single-case experimental research. The primary aim of the analysis was to examine changes in lower-limb muscular strength, static balance (eyes open and closed), dynamic balance, and short-term memory across baseline (A1), intervention (B), and follow-up (A2) phases. Descriptive statistics, including mean and median, were calculated for all outcome variables within each phase. Phase stability was evaluated using the 80/25 criterion, indicating stability when at least 80% of data points fall within ±25% of the phase median [44, 45]. Within-phase analysis focused on variability and trend estimation using relative level change (difference between medians of the first and second halves of each phase) and absolute level change (difference between the first and last data points within each phase). Relative percentage change values exceeding 40% were interpreted as indicative of notable within-phase variability [46]. Between-phase effects were examined using non-overlap and level-change indices, including Percentage of Data Exceeding the Median (PEM), Percentage of Non-overlapping Data (PND), Percentage of Overlapping Data (POD), and Mean Baseline Reduction/Increase (MBLR). These indices were computed to quantify the extent of improvement or deterioration across phases [47]. Interpretation of non-overlap indices followed established benchmarks, where values below 70% indicate weak effects, 71–90% moderate effects, and values above 90% strong intervention effects [47]. Finally, maintenance of effects was assessed through descriptive comparison of follow-up (A2) data with baseline and intervention phases. The integration of these indices provided a comprehensive evaluation of intervention effectiveness consistent with contemporary standards for single-case experimental designs [48].

Result

Descriptive Outcomes

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of lower-limb strength, static balance with eyes open, static balance with eyes closed, dynamic balance, and short-term memory across the baseline, intervention, and follow-up phases. The results indicate that both the mean and median values increased from the baseline phase to the intervention phase, and subsequently decreased from the intervention phase to the follow-up phase.

Table 1. Mean and median scores of lower-limb strength, static balance (eyes open and closed), dynamic balance, and short-term memory across study phases.

Phase	Statistic	Lower-limb strength	Static balance (eyes open)	Static balance (eyes closed)	Dynamic balance	Short-term memory
Baseline (A1)	Mean	13	24.6	22.73	11.33	24
	Median	13	24.5	22.80	11.30	24
	First-half median	12	24.3	22.50	11.50	23
	Second-half median	14	25.1	22.90	11.20	25
Intervention (B)	Mean	17.16	28.08	24.68	10.38	32.83
	Median	17.5	28.05	24.25	10.55	32
	First-half median	16	26.7	23.80	10.90	30
	Second-half median	18	29.3	25.80	9.90	35
Follow-up (A2)	Mean	14	25.8	23.36	11.08	25.33
	Median	14	25.8	23.10	11.10	25
	First-half median	13	25.5	22.90	11.30	24

In press

Table 1. Mean and median scores of lower-limb strength, static balance (eyes open and closed), dynamic balance, and short-term memory across study phases.

Phase	Statistic	Lower-limb strength	Static balance (eyes open)	Static balance (eyes closed)	Dynamic balance	Short-term memory
	Second-half median	15	26.2	24.10	10.85	27

Within-Condition Analysis

Stability analysis using the 80/25 criterion indicated that all variables demonstrated acceptable stability within each phase. Relative level change indices (difference between first- and second-half medians) showed that within-phase variability remained below the 40% threshold for all variables, indicating acceptable stability and consistent data patterns across phases. (Table 2).

Table 2. Relative percentage change within phases

Variable	Baseline (A1) (%)	Intervention (B) (%)	Follow-up (A2) (%)
Lower-limb strength	16.6	12.5	15.3
Static balance (eyes open)	3.29	9.73	2.74
Static balance (eyes closed)	1.77	8.40	5.24
Dynamic balance	2.6	9.17	3.98
Short-term memory	8.69	16.66	12.5

Between-phases analysis

As shown in Table 3, the Percentage of Data Exceeding the Median (PEM) for all study variables from the baseline phase to the intervention phase was 100%. The Mean Baseline Level Ratio (MBLR) values indicated positive coefficients for variables that increased and negative coefficients for variables that decreased from the baseline to the intervention phase. The Percentage of Non-overlapping Data (PND) and the Percentage of Overlapping Data (POD) between the baseline and intervention phases were 100% and 0%, respectively. Taken together, these findings indicate a clear improvement in all outcome variables following the intervention phase.

In contrast, as shown in Table 3, the PEM values for all study variables from the intervention phase to the follow-up phase were 0%. The MBLR values indicated negative coefficients for variables that increased and positive coefficients for variables that decreased from the intervention phase to the follow-up phase. The PND and POD values between the intervention and follow-up phases were 0% and 100%, respectively.

Table 3. Between-phase comparison indices (PEM, MBLR, PND, and POD) for the study variables across baseline-to-intervention (A1–B) and intervention-to-follow-up (B–A2) phases.

Variable	Baseline vs. Intervention (A1–B)				Intervention vs. Follow-up (B–A2)			
	PEM (%)	MBLR (%)	PND (%)	POD (%)	PEM (%)	MBLR (%)	PND (%)	POD (%)
Lower-limb strength	100	+35.38	100	0	0	−18.41	0	100
Static balance(eyes open)	100	+14.14	100	0	0	−8.11	0	100
Static balance (eyes closed)	100	+8.57	100	0	0	−5.30	0	100
Dynamic balance	100	−8.38	100	0	0	+6.74	0	100
Short-term memory	100	+36.78	100	0	0	−22.84	0	100

In press

Discussion

The present single-subject study investigated the effects of unstable surface resistance training on lower-limb strength, balance performance, and short-term memory in a 68-year-old veteran. The findings demonstrated clear improvements across all outcome measures during the intervention phase. Specifically, increases were observed in lower-limb strength, static balance with both eyes open and eyes closed, and short-term memory performance, while dynamic balance performance also improved as evidenced by reduced completion time. Although some decline was observed during the follow-up phase, the participant's performance generally remained superior to baseline levels, suggesting that the intervention produced meaningful short-term adaptations.

The improvement in lower-limb strength may be attributed to the unique neuromuscular demands associated with resistance exercise performed on unstable surfaces. Unlike traditional resistance training, unstable surface training requires continuous postural adjustments and greater activation of stabilizing musculature to maintain body position during movement. For this participant, repeated exposure to instability may have enhanced motor unit recruitment, intermuscular coordination, and neuromuscular efficiency, thereby contributing to the observed gains in strength [49]. Given the age-related decline in muscle mass and neuromuscular function commonly observed in older adults, the magnitude of improvement observed in this veteran suggests that instability-based resistance exercises may provide a sufficiently challenging stimulus to induce meaningful strength adaptations even in later life.

Improvements in balance performance were also evident throughout the intervention phase. Since maintaining equilibrium on unstable surfaces requires continuous integration of visual, vestibular, and somatosensory information, the training protocol likely challenged multiple components of the postural control system. Repeated practice under unstable conditions may have enhanced sensory integration and the participant's ability to generate rapid corrective responses to postural perturbations. Training on unstable surfaces exposes individuals to unpredictable sensory inputs and continuously challenges postural control mechanisms, thereby increasing the demand on the nervous system to process sensory information and generate appropriate motor responses [49]. These adaptations may explain the observed improvements in both static balance conditions as well as dynamic balance performance. The finding is particularly important because impaired balance is a major risk factor for falls, functional limitations, and reduced independence among older adults.

An interesting finding of the present study was the improvement in short-term memory following the intervention. Although resistance training is primarily prescribed to improve physical function, growing evidence suggests that exercise can also positively influence cognitive performance. Several mechanisms may account for this effect, including increased cerebral blood flow, enhanced neuroplasticity, and exercise-induced release of neurotrophic factors. Recent systematic reviews have reported that physical exercise, including resistance and multicomponent training programs, can positively influence memory, executive function, and global cognitive performance in older adults [50]. In addition, performing exercises on unstable surfaces requires sustained attention, continuous monitoring of body position, and rapid motor adjustments. These additional cognitive demands may have provided a form of simultaneous cognitive stimulation that contributed to the observed improvement in memory performance in this participant.

The pattern of findings observed during the follow-up phase provides additional insight into the nature of the training effects. Although improvements were maintained to some extent, most outcome measures declined relative to the intervention phase. This reduction suggests that continued exposure to the training stimulus may be necessary to sustain the adaptations achieved during the intervention period. Such a pattern is not unexpected in older individuals, as age-related physiological changes may reduce the persistence of neuromuscular and cognitive adaptations once training ceases. Nevertheless, the participant's performance remained generally better than baseline, indicating that some benefits were retained after the intervention ended.

The present findings are broadly consistent with previous research reporting positive effects of unstable surface training on balance and neuromuscular performance in older adults. Studies have suggested that instability-based exercises enhance proprioceptive function, postural control, and muscle activation patterns, leading to improvements in functional performance [49]. Similarly, recent evidence has highlighted the potential cognitive benefits of physical exercise, particularly when training tasks involve increased attentional and coordinative demands. The current study

In Press

extends this body of literature by demonstrating that these benefits may also be observed in an older veteran participating in a structured unstable surface resistance training program.

The veteran status of the participant deserves particular consideration. Older veterans may experience unique physical and functional challenges associated with aging, previous injuries, or long-term reductions in physical activity. Consequently, interventions that simultaneously target physical and cognitive function may be especially valuable in this population. Although conclusions cannot be generalized from a single case, the positive changes observed across multiple outcome measures suggest that unstable surface resistance training may represent a feasible and potentially beneficial approach for promoting functional health in older veterans.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the study involved only one participant, limiting the generalizability of the findings. Second, the absence of a control condition prevents definitive conclusions regarding causality. Third, the relatively short follow-up period does not allow evaluation of long-term retention of the observed benefits. Future studies employing larger samples, multiple-baseline designs, and longer follow-up periods are recommended to further investigate the effects of unstable surface resistance training on physical and cognitive outcomes in older veterans.

Conclusion

This single-subject investigation provides preliminary evidence that unstable surface resistance training may simultaneously enhance lower-limb strength, postural control, and short-term memory in an older veteran. Improvements were observed across both physical and cognitive domains during the intervention period, and although some attenuation occurred after training cessation, performance generally remained above baseline levels. These findings suggest that integrating instability into resistance exercise may offer a multidimensional training stimulus capable of targeting neuromuscular and cognitive functions concurrently.

Beyond its effects on strength and balance, the observed improvement in short-term memory highlights the potential value of training approaches that combine physical exertion with increased sensorimotor and attentional demands. Such interventions may be particularly relevant for older veterans, a population that often faces age-related declines in both physical and cognitive functioning.

While the inherent limitations of a single-case design preclude broad generalization, the present findings contribute to the emerging literature on integrated physical–cognitive exercise interventions and provide a rationale for future controlled studies with larger samples to determine the mechanisms, effectiveness, and long-term sustainability of these adaptations in older adults and veteran populations.

Ethical Permission: The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hakim Sabzevari University under the ethical approval code: IR.HSU.REC.1402.028.

Authors' Contribution:

The methodologist: Arezou Mehranian, Nasrin Shakerian Toupkanlou
The main or assistant researcher: Mohammad reza Shahabi kaseb, Arezou mehranian
The introduction writer: Najmeh Parhizmeymandi, Nasrin Shakerian Toupkanlou
The data analyst: Mohammad reza Shahabi kaseb
The discussion author: Najmeh Parhizmeymandi, Arezou Mehranian

Conflicts of Interests: The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

Funding/Supports: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

In press