Variation in the Outcome following Intralesional 5-FU in the Treatment of Various Forms of Cutaneous Warts in Iraqi Patients based on Clinical Presentation

ARTICLE INFO

Article Type

Original research

ABSTRACT

Aims The current study was conducted to see the safety and efficacy of intralesional 5-FU in treating cutaneous warty lesions in a sample of Iraqi patients.

Authors Bdaiwi SA. *1 *PhD,* Abdul - Saheb RH. ² *PhD*

How to cite this article

Bdaiwi S A, Abdul - Saheb R H. Variation in the Outcome following Intralesional 5-FU in the Treatment of Various Forms of Cutaneous Warts in Iraqi Patients based on Clinical Presentation. Iranian Journal of War & Public Health. 2021; 13(4):235-238. **Methods** This experimental study was conducted in patients. **Methods** This experimental study was conducted in patients with various warty skin lesions from August 2020 to August 2021. Sixty patients visited the dermatology unit at Aldiwaniyah teaching hospital, Iraq, and were selected for the study. The new solution containing 4mL of 50mg/mL 5-fluorouracil was injected strictly intralesionally till blanching of the lesion occurred. After two weeks of 5-fluorouracil injection, a black ecchymosed eschar developed, which was pared, and residual warts, if present, were injected a second time. The patients were followed up to 3 months and then quarterly up to 1 year. Persistence of wart after three months of the last injection was taken as a failure of treatment. The statistical analysis was done with the SPSS 16 and Excel 2007 using the Chi-square test.

Findings The complete response to treatment was seen in 200 (80.0%), partial response was seen in 37 (14.8%), and no response was recorded in 13 (5.2%) of cases. There was no significant association between size of warty lesion and response to intralesional 5-FU (p=0.154); however, there was a significant association between type of warty lesion and response to intralesional 5-FU (p=0.046).

Conclusion Intralesional 5-FU appears to be highly effective in treating cutaneous warts; however, the response is influenced by the type of skin lesion, being maximal with palmoplantar wart and least common with the skin lesion periungual lesions.

Keywords Intralesional 5-FU; Cutaneous Warts; Iraq

CITATION LINKS

 ¹ Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al Diwaniyah, Iraq
 ² Diwaniyah Teaching Hospital, Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

*Correspondence

Address: Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al Diwaniyah, Iraq Phone: -Fax: -SanaBdaiwi.56@yahoo.com

Article History

Received: October 4, 2021 Accepted: November 4, 2021 ePublished: January 24, 2022

[1] Treatment of cutaneous warts: an evidence-based ... [2] The effectiveness of cutaneous wart resolution with current treatment ... [3] British association of dermatologists' guidelines for the ... [4] Epidemiology and clinical profile of cutaneous warts in Chinese college ... [5] Epidemiologic study of dermatologic ... [6] The US prevalence of common warts in childhood ... [7] Natural course of cutaneous warts among ... [8] Topical treatments for cutaneous ... [9] Natural history of warts: a two-year ... [10] The importance of sport activities and complexes role on the handicapped and physical and motor-handicapped people ... [11] The descriptive epidemiology of warts in British ... [12] HPV type in plantar warts influences natural course and treatment ... [13] Evaluation of IL-12 serum level in patients with recalcitrant multiple common ... [14] Intralesional 5-fluorouracil, lidocaine and epinephrine ... [15] Efficacy of intralesional 5-fluorouracil in recalcitrant warts a study ... [16] The efficacy of microneedling alone vs its combination with 5-fluorouracil ... [17] Successful treatment of recalcitrant plantar warts with ... [18] Evaluation of intralesional 5% 5-fluorouracil in resistant ... [19] Clinical applications for topical 5-fluorouracil in the treatment ... [20] The treatment of plantar warts with ... [21] Treatment of common warts with an intralesional mixture of 5-fluorouracil, lidocaine, and epinephrine: a prospective placebo-controlled ... [22] The trials of treating ... [23] Intralesional immunotherapy compared to cryotherapy in the treatment ... [24] Efficacy of intralesional 5-fluorouracil in treatment of Palmo-plantar ... [25] Efficacy of Intralesional 5-fluorouracil versus BCG vaccine in the treatment ...

Copyright© 2021, the Authors | Publishing Rights, ASPI. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms.

Variation in the Outcome following Intralesional 5-FU in ...

Introduction

One common skin problem seen in routine dermatological practice is cutaneous warts ^[1]. Their warty lesions are named according to their site in the body so that lesions of the face, dorsum of the hand, and shins are called "verruca plana", lesions seen on the sole of the feet are named "verruca plantaris", common warts are named "verruca vulgaris", and those lesions surrounding the nails are called "periungual verruca" [2]. These lesions are caused by infection of cells of the skin by the human papillomavirus (HPV). HPV is a small DNA virus that belongs to the family papovavirus ^[3]. The types of HPV are more than 150. Verruca vulgaris lesions are due to types 1, 2, 4, 27, or 57 and verruca plana lesions by HPV types 10 or 3 [3]. The most frequent types of HPV are 2, 27, 57, and 1^[4]. Warty lesions are mostly asymptomatic; however, they may cause psychological upset or physical disfigurement ^[4]. Cutaneous warts are common in children, and their prevalence in that age group is in the range of 3.3% up to 33% ^[5, 6]. In young adults, the prevalence rate is less than that in children, and roughly speaking, it is around 3.5%, and the prevalence rate becomes even less in individuals more than 35 years of age [4]. Cutaneous warts may undergo spontaneous resolution, and it has been shown that half of the children with warty lesions will get cured spontaneously within one year [7]. In general, spontaneous resolution is influenced by HPV type, host immune response, and infection location. People often seek medical advice because of the long time needed for spontaneous resolution, and some reports have shown that spontaneous resolution is seen in 40% only within a period of 2 years of follow-up [8]. The most cited study on the natural course of cutaneous warts reported that 113 of 168 children (67%) were free of warts after two years [9-11]

Antiviral agents can treat these lesions; however, the natural immune response can control the spread of these viral lesions and their sequelae. Some authors have suggested identifying the specific HPV type associated with a skin lesion before treating the lesion^[12]. If initial treatment fails to control the skin lesion, it will be known as "recalcitrant"; therefore, an alternative form of treatment is required ^[13]. It has been stated that various topical agents used in everyday dermatological practice are often unsuccessful, and therefore patients may suffer adverse effects of these topical agents with no added benefit ^[13]. One meta-analysis showed that liquid nitrogen cryotherapy is not significantly different from placebo and that there was no significant difference between salicylic acid and cryotherapy when all areas of the body are considered [8]. In recent literature, the issue of using immunemanipulation methods is frequently raised dinitrochlorobenzene, including, 5-fluorouracil, intralesional interferon, intralesional bleomycin, Iranian Journal of War and Public Health

intralesional antigen, and photodynamic therapy ^[8]. Although the exact mechanism of these immune modulators is not fully understood, most of these agents have been associated with promising results ^[13].

One of the well-known anti-tumor agents used in clinical practice is Five-Fluorouracil (FU), and it acts by inhibiting DNA synthesis through the blocking of thymidine and pyrimidine ^[14]. Prevention of DNA synthesis will stop cellular replication and proliferation, and this is the basis for using 5-FU in treating warts with some reports that favored acceptable efficacy and safety ^[15, 16].

The current study was planned and conducted to see the safety and efficacy of intralesional 5-FU in treating cutaneous warty lesions in a sample of Iraqi patients.

Materials and Methods

This experimental study included patients with various types of warty skin lesions. A total of 60 patients visited the dermatology unit at Aldiwaniyah teaching hospital, Aldiwaniyah province, Iraq, from August 2020 to August 2021. Sixty patients with various warty skin lesions, without any history of previous treatment, whose ages ranged from 20 to 55 years, were included in the present study. Pregnant women, children, and patients with a history of any other systemic illness were also excluded from the study. The diagnosis was made based on history and typical clinical features.

A questionnaire form was prepared and included information about age, gender, severity, and duration of disease, the character of the lesion, site of lesion, diagnosis, and treatment.

The study was approved ethically by the ethical approval committee of the college of medicine, the University of Al-Qadisiyah, and verbal consent was obtained from all participants. A solution was created containing 4mL of 50mg/mL 5-fluorouracil. Each wart and the adjacent skin were cleaned with isopropyl alcohol. The new solution was injected strictly intralesionally till blanching of the lesion occurred. After two weeks of 5-fluorouracil injection, a black ecchymosed eschar developed, which was pared, and residual warts, if present, were injected a second time. After each injection, antibiotics and anti-inflammatory agents were prescribed for five days to prevent secondary infection and post-injection pain. The patients were followed up to 3 months and then quarterly up to 1 year. Results were evaluated based on clinical improvement. Persistence of wart after three months of the last injection was taken as a failure of treatment

The statistical analysis was done with the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 16 (IBM, Chicago, USA) and Microsoft Office Excel 2007. Quantitative data were expressed as a range, standard deviation, and mean; while, qualitative

237

data were expressed as percentages and numbers. Independent samples t-test was used to evaluate the difference in mean of quantitative variables; the Chisquare test was used to compare variation in proportions of qualitative variables. The cutoff value labeling significance was chosen at $p \le 0.05$, and the cutoff level labeling high significance was chosen at $p \le 0.01$.

Findings

The current study included 60 patients with skin warts (Table 1). The mean age of patients was 35.81 ± 7.06 years with a range of 20 to 55 years. Collectively, the total number of all warty lesions in all enrolled patients was 250.

 Table 1) Demographic characteristics of study sample (n=60)

Characteristic	Number (%)		
Age (Year)			
20-30	25 (41.7)		
31-40	16 (26.7)		
41-50	13 (21.7)		
51-55	6 (10.0)		
Gender			
Male	24 (40.0)		
Female	36 (60.0)		
Types of warty skin lesions			
Common wart	120 (48.0)		
Palmoplantar wart	116 (46.4)		
Periungual wart	14 (5.6)		
Single lesion	15 (25.0)		
2-5 lesions	31 (51.7)		
6-10 lesions	6 (10.0)		
> 10 lesions	8 (13.3)		
Response to treatment with intralesional 5-FU			
Complete	200 (80.0)		
Partial	37 (14.8)		
None	13 (5.2)		

There was no significant association between size of warty lesion and response to intralesional 5-FU (p>0.05), but there was a significant association between type of warty lesion and response to intralesional 5-FU (p<0.05); in such a way that complete response was most commonly associated with palmoplantar lesions, whereas, no response was mostly associated with periungual lesions (Table 2).

 Table 2) The association between size and type of warty lesion

 and response to intralesional 5-FU by the Chi-square test

Variable	Response to intralesional 5-FU			p-value
	Complete	Partial	None	
Response				
≤ 5mm (n=140)	116 (82.9)	20 (14.3)	4 (2.9)	0.154
>5 mm (n=110)	84 (76.4)	17 (15.5)	9 (8.2)	
Type of lesion				
Palmoplantar	101 (87.1)	13 (11.2)	2 (1.7)	0.046
Common	90 (75.0)	21 (17.5)	9 (7.5)	
Periungual	9 (64.3)	3 (21.4)	2 (14.3)	

Discussion

Cutaneous warts still represent a treatment challenge despite the wide array of various treatment options offered. Some of these lesions undergo spontaneous resolution; however,

Iranian Journal of War and Public Health

Bdaiwi SA. & Abdul-Saheb RH.

resistance to treatment or recurrence of lesions may stand as sources of autoinoculation ^[17]. 5-Fluorouracil is an antimetabolite known to be an effective and safe approach for treating common warts ^[18]. Topical 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) has been used to treat dermatological conditions for nearly half a century ^[19]. As a treatment for warts, topical 5fluorouracil is primarily of historical interest, with most trials carried out in the 1970s and 1980s [20]. Based on previous reports on the successful response to intralesional 5-FU when treating cutaneous warts ^[16, 21], we planned and conducted this study because little is known about its efficacy and safety in Iraqi patients. The rationale for using 5-FU in treating warty lesions is that it inhibits DNA synthesis and limits cellular proliferation ^[14, 16]. The literature has shown that conventional treatments used in everyday practice are uniformly unsuccessful and carry concerns regarding safety [22, ^{23]}. It has been stated that intralesional delivery of 5-FU is associated with pain that is intolerable by patients ^[16, 21]; however, in the current study, the pain was not intolerable to the degree of refusing treatment by patients all participants were cooperative, and the only undesirable outcome is the failure of therapy in 5.2% of patients. Therefore, we believe that intralesional administration of 5-FU in cases resistant to conventional modes of treatment or characterized by a high rate of recurrence is justified. Complete response was seen in 80% of patients, and this response rate is highly promising. In one previous study, complete response was seen in 76.7% of patients receiving intralesional 5-FU [16], and this rate is nearly similar to that obtained in the current study. In another study, complete response was seen in 70% of cases treated with intralesional 5 –FU ^{[14],} and this figure is somewhat less than that reported in our study. Unfortunately, the placebo group in the current study was not involved because of the refusal of most of the patients to receive such mode of treatment, but, Iscimen et al. in 2004 included a control group (treated with conventional treatment) in whom the response rate was 29% only, and this reflects the advantage of using intralesional 5-FU over conventional modalities of therapy.

On the other hand, a placebo group was enrolled in one previous study in which the success rate of intralesional 5-FU was 64.7% compared to 35.3% in the placebo group ^[21]. In addition, in another study, the rate of success in the group of 5-FU was 95.4% compared to 13.3% in the placebo group ^[24]. The most important adverse effects associated with intralesional 5-FU, as reported by previous authors ^[14, 24], were pain and hemorrhagic eschars; however, in our study, hemorrhagic eschars were not reported. In another comparative study, the success rate in the case of 5-FU was 63.9% compared to 40% in the control group ^[25]. In our study, response to treatment was not affected by the lesion site; Variation in the Outcome following Intralesional 5-FU in ...

however, the type of lesion significantly influenced the treatment response.

Conclusion

The intralesional 5-FU appears to be highly effective in treating cutaneous warts; however, the response is influenced by the type of skin lesion, being maximal with palmoplantar wart and least common with the skin lesion periungual lesions.

Acknowledgments: I would like to express my deep thanks to all patients enrolled in the current study for their kind cooperation to complete the present therapeutic trial.

Ethical Permissions: The study was approved by the ethical approval committee of the college of medicine of the University of Al-Qadisiyah.

Conflicts of Interests: -

Authors' Contribution: Bdaiwi SA. (First Author), Introduction Writer/Discussion Writer (50%); Abdul -Saheb RH. (Second Author), Assistant Researcher (50%). Funding/Support: -

References

1- Dall'oglio F, D'Amico V, Nasca MR, Micali G. Treatment of cutaneous warts: an evidence-based review. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2012;13(2):73-96.

2- Ringin SA. The effectiveness of cutaneous wart resolution with current treatment modalities. J Cutan Aesthet Surg. 2020;13(1):24-30.

3- Sterling JC, Gibbs S, Haque Hussain SS, Mohd Mustapa MF, Handfield-Jones SE. British association of dermatologists' guidelines for the management of cutaneous warts 2014. Br J Dermatol. 2014;171(4):696-712.

4- Liu J, Li H, Yang F, Ren Y, Xia T, Zhao Z, et al. Epidemiology and clinical profile of cutaneous warts in Chinese college students: a cross-sectional and follow-up study. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):15450.

5- Yamamah GA, Emam HM, Abdelhamid MF, Elsaie ML, Shehata H, Farid T, et al. Epidemiologic study of dermatologic disorders among children in South Sinai, Egypt. Int J Dermatol. 2012;51(10):1180-5.

6- Silverberg JI, Silverberg NB. The US prevalence of common warts in childhood: a population-based study. J Invest Dermatol. 2013;133(12):2788-90.

7- Bruggink SC, Eekhof JAH, Egberts PF, van Blijswijk SCE, Assendelft WJJ, Gussekloo J. Natural course of cutaneous warts among primary schoolchildren: a prospective cohort study. Ann Fam Med. 2013;11(5):437-41.

8- Kwok CS, Gibbs S, Bennett C, Holland R, Abbott R. Topical treatments for cutaneous warts. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2012(9):CD001781.

9- Massing AM, Epstein WL. Natural history of warts: a two-year study. Arch Dermatol. 1963;87:306-10

Iranian Journal of War and Public Health

10- van der Werf E. Studies on the incidence and course of warts in school children. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 1959;103(23):1204-8. [Dutch]

11- Williams HC, Pottier A, Strachan D. The descriptive epidemiology of warts in British schoolchildren. Br J Dermatol. 1993;128(5):504-11

12- Bruggink SC, Gussekloo J, de Koning MN, Feltkamp MC, Bavinck JN, Quint WG, et al. HPV type in plantar warts influences natural course and treatment response: secondary analysis of a randomised controlled trial. J Clin Virol. 2013;57(3):227-32.

13- Abd-Elazeim FM, Mohammed GF, Fathy A, Mohamed RW. Evaluation of IL-12 serum level in patients with recalcitrant multiple common warts, treated by intralesional tuberculin antigen. J Dermatolog Treat. 2014;25(3):264-7.

14- Isçimen A, Aydemir EH, Göksügür N, Engin B. Intralesional 5-fluorouracil, lidocaine and epinephrine mixture for the treatment of verrucae: a prospective placebo-controlled, single-blind randomized study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2004;18(4):455-8.

15- Kannambal K, Kaviarasan PK, Prasad PVS, Poorana B, Balajiganesh J. Efficacy of intralesional 5-fluorouracil in recalcitrant warts a study at tertiary care centre. J Med Sci Clin Res. 2019;7:556-60.

16- Ghonemy S, Ibrahim Ali M, Ebrahim HM. The efficacy of microneedling alone vs its combination with 5-fluorouracil solution vs 5-fluorouracil intralesional injection in the treatment of plantar warts. Dermatol Ther. 2020;33(6):e14179.

17- Konicke K, Olasz E. Successful treatment of recalcitrant plantar warts with bleomycin and microneedling. Dermatol Surg. 2016;42(8):1007-8.

18- Mahajan BB, Singla M. Evaluation of intralesional 5% 5-fluorouracil in resistant localized plaque psoriasis. Indian Dermatol Online J. 2014;5(3):287-90.

19- Moore AY. Clinical applications for topical 5fluorouracil in the treatment of dermatological disorders. J Dermatolog Treat. 2009;20(6):328-35.

20- Bunney MH. The treatment of plantar warts with 5-fluorouracil. Br J Dermatol 1973;89:96–7

21- Yazdanfar A, Farshchian M, Fereydoonnejad M, Farshchian M. Treatment of common warts with an intralesional mixture of 5-fluorouracil, lidocaine, and epinephrine: a prospective placebo-controlled, double-blind randomized trial. Dermatol Surg. 2008;34(5):656-9.

22- Gibbs S. The trials of treating warts. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol. 2014;80:495-6.

23- Khozeimeh F, Jabbari Azad F, Mahboubi Oskouei Y, Jafari M, Tehranian S, Alizadehsani R, et al. Intralesional immunotherapy compared to cryotherapy in the treatment of warts. Int J Dermatol. 2017;56(4):474-8.

24- Srivastava A, Ghiya BC, Soni p, Dave H, Dhanwal A, Mehta RD. Efficacy of intralesional 5-fluorouracil in treatment of Palmo-plantar warts. Int J Med Res. 2016;2(3):60-3.

25- Kenawi MZ, Sherine H, EL-Rahman SH, Salam OH. Efficacy of Intralesional 5-fluorouracil versus BCG vaccine in the treatment of warts. Egypt J Dermatol Androl. 2012;32:3-14.